Librational Feedback Cooling
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Librational motion, whereby a rigid body undergoes angular oscillation around a preferred direction, can be observed in optically trapped, silica microspheres. We demonstrate the cooling of one librational degree of freedom for \(\sim 5 \mu m\) diameter spheres that have been induced to rotate with an external electric field coupled to their electric dipole moment. Cooling is accomplished by adding a phase modulation to the rotating field. The degree of cooling is quantified by applying a \(\pi/2\) shift to the phase of the electric field and fitting the resulting exponential decay of the librational motion to obtain a damping time, as well as estimating a mode temperature from the observed libration in equilibrium. The result is an important step in the study of the dynamics of trapped microspheres, crucial to cooling the mechanical motion to its ground state, as well as providing insights regarding the charge mobility in the material at microscopic scales.

I. INTRODUCTION

Classical mechanical systems akin to the canonical mass-on-a-spring have been used to study oscillator dynamics under a wealth of different conditions, allowing for these systems to serve as underlying models for a variety of complex physical processes. In the flourishing field of optomechanics [1], restoring forces are generated by optical interactions such as radiation pressure, and can thus be controlled with great precision. Indeed, translational motion of optomechanical oscillators has been cooled to the level of single quanta of the associated potential [2–4].

To date, much less attention has been given to the rotational degrees of freedom. These have been manipulated primarily with two mechanisms: transfer of angular momentum from a circularly polarized trapping beam to a birefringent particle confined within the trap [5, 6], or coupling an external rotating electric field to the electric dipole moment within the trapped particle [7, 8].

For the electrostatic technique, the particle must have an electric dipole moment, which has generally been observed in silica microspheres (MSs) synthesized via the Stöber process [6–9]. As the dipole moment (and thus the MS carrying it) is driven into rotation by the electric field, its orientation oscillates about the instantaneous direction of the field, which we refer to as “libration”, in analogy with the more familiar astronomical phenomenon [10].

We present the first demonstration of feedback cooling of a librational degree of freedom, using an optically trapped silica MS in vacuum. The MS is first translationally confined with active feedback, electrically neutralized, and then induced to rotate at a fixed, but freely chosen, angular velocity, by application of a rotating electric field. For sufficiently small oscillations, the librational degree of freedom can be described as a damped harmonic oscillator. Feedback is provided by first detecting the phase of the MS’s rotation from the polarization of transmitted light, sensitive to the rotation of the MS’s birefringent axes, and subsequently modulating the phase of the rotating electric field.

The rotational motion of microscopic objects may provide systems with inherently low levels of damping [11, 12], offer gyroscopic stabilization of the rotor’s translational degrees of freedom [5, 7, 13], as well as possibly mitigate systematic effects observed in precision force measurements with optically levitated systems [9, 14–16].

II. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

The optomechanical system implemented in this work consists of a vertically-oriented optical tweezers in vacuum. Silica MSs [17] with diameter \((4.70 \pm 0.08) \mu m\) are trapped at the focus of a linearly-polarized laser beam with vacuum wavelength 1064 nm and focused with numerical aperture of NA = 0.12, generated by a Yb:doped fiber laser and manipulated by a combination of both fiber and free-space optics. Translational motion of the MS in the horizontal plane is observed in the deflection of transmitted light, while vertical motion is derived from the phase of light retroreflected by the MS. Active stabilization of the translational degrees of freedom is applied by piezoelectrically-driven deflection of the trap position for the horizontal degrees of freedom, as well as power modulation of the trapping beam for the vertical degree of freedom. We observe negligible coupling between the translation and rotation of the MS, that are quite separated in frequency, \(O(10 \text{ Hz to } 1000 \text{ Hz})\) for translation and \(O(10 \text{ kHz})\) for rotation. The investigation of such a coupling may prove interesting for future work.

Six identical electrodes form a cubical cavity around the focus of the trapping beam, whilst also allowing me-
FIG. 1. A schematic depiction of the central features of the apparatus including the optical trap, the surrounding electrode structure, and parts of the imaging system. The lower right inset demonstrates a typical electric field configuration when driving a trapped MS to rotate. The upper right inset is an idealized version of the expected signal from the cross-polarized light monitor as the MS is rotating with angular velocity $\omega_0$. Note that the coordinate pair relevant for the inset are different from those in the main panel. A complete description of the apparatus can be found in Ref. [19].

Mechanical and optical access via central bore holes through each electrode. This architecture allows one to set both the value of the electric field and its gradient at the location of trap. By applying an individually phased sinusoidal voltage to four of the six electrodes, such that the chosen four lie within the same plane, a rotating electric field of constant magnitude can be generated. The orientation of the MS electric dipole moment then aligns with the electric field, and the angular position can be actively driven by phase-modulating the driving voltages. A schematic depiction of the optical trap is shown in Fig. 1. More details on the apparatus are given in Refs. [19, 20].

The rotational degrees of freedom of a rotating MS are monitored by taking advantage of the residual birefringence present in Stöber process silica MSs [6–8]. A birefringent MS couples some of the linearly polarized trapping light into the orthogonal linear polarization following the relation $P_\perp = P_0 \sin^2(\mu/2) \sin^2(\theta)$, where $P_0$ is the incident power, $\mu \propto \Delta n$ is the phase retardation between the two axes of the birefringence, and $\theta$ is the angle between the projection of the fast axis into the rotation plane and the incident polarization [21]. Thus, a birefringent MS driven to rotate with angular velocity $\omega_0$ will generate cross-polarized light with an intensity modulation at $2\omega_0$.

The cross-polarized light is separated from the transmitted light with a polarizing beam-splitter (PBS) and projected onto a photodiode. The modulating photocurrent is first converted to a voltage, amplified, digitized, digitally filtered around $2\omega_0$, and finally digitally-demodulated, following the technique described in Refs. [19, 22]. The digitization, filtering, and demodulation operations are performed with a field-programmable gate array (FPGA, NI PCIe-7841) in order to derive the feedback signal, while the amplified photodiode output is also digitized in parallel by a second analog-to-digital converter (ADC, NI PXI-6259) operated at 500 kHz, and stored for offline analysis with monitor signals of the four driving voltages. A schematic view of the feedback architecture is shown in Fig. 2.

The drive voltages are first generated by the FPGA configured to operate as a direct digital synthesis (DDS) waveform generator. Full digital control allows the generation of four distinct signals from a single DDS: the sin, cos, $-\sin$, and $-\cos$ components. By phase modulating the top-level DDS, the angular position of the resultant electric field vector is necessarily modulated. While the internal structure of the DDS is clocked at 40 MHz, the digital-to-analog converters (DACs) are updated at 1 MHz, and for the data presented here, the rotation velocity was fixed to 25 kHz. The four DDS outputs are amplified (Tabor 9400) to $\mathcal{O}(100 \text{ V})$ before driving the electrodes. A finite element analysis (FEA) of the electrode structure suggests that electric fields of order 100 kV/m are possible with this hardware configuration.

Note that all components within the FPGA module are clocked by the same top-level oscillator, ensuring a phase-
III. THE LIBRATIONAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM

Silica MS produced via the Stöber process posses an electric dipole moment \( \mathbf{d} \) \([7, 9, 23, 24]\) which, for otherwise identical spheres, can vary in magnitude by more than a factor of 10 \([19, 23]\). If the MS is subject to a rotating electric field of the form \( \mathbf{E} = E[\sin(\omega_d t)\mathbf{x} + \cos(\omega_d t)\mathbf{y}] \), where the choice of \( \mathbf{z} \) as the rotation axis is arbitrary, the orientation of the MS’s electric dipole moment tends to align with the direction of the electric field due to the torque \( \mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{E} \). Additionally, the MS is subject to a drag torque proportional to its angular velocity, and a randomly fluctuating thermal torque, both as a result of collisions with residual gas molecules. By defining \( \phi' \) as the angle between the electric field vector \( \mathbf{E} \) and the orientation of the dipole moment \( \mathbf{d} \), and restricting the analysis to the plane of rotation (xy-plane), the angular momentum, \( \mathbf{L} \) of the MS is governed by the following equation of motion:

\[
\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
E d \sin(\omega_d t - \phi') - \beta_{rot} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} + \sqrt{S_{th}(t)}
\end{array} \right] \hat{z},
\]

with \( d = |\mathbf{d}| \) being the magnitude of the MS electric dipole moment, \( \beta_{rot} \) being the rotational drag coefficient of the MS, \( S_{th} = 4k_B T \beta_{rot} \) being the single-sided power spectral density of the thermal torque noise with \( k_B \) the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) the temperature of the residual gas, and with \( \eta \) being a time-domain representation of a stochastic Wiener process, such that \( \mathcal{F}[\eta] \mathcal{F}[\eta] = 1 \) with \( \mathcal{F} \) being the Fourier transform operator. A derivation of \( S_{th} \) and \( \beta_{rot} \) is detailed with great care in both Refs. [25, 26].

Now transform the equation of motion to the frame co-rotating with the electric field by defining the angular coordinate \( \phi = \phi' - \omega_d t \) and recognizing that \( \mathbf{L} = I \dot{\phi} \) where \( I \) is the MS moment of inertia. The result has an equilibrium solution, found by setting \( \dot{\phi} = 0 \) and momentarily ignoring the stochastic drive. Physically, the equilibrium solution is induced by the overall gas drag from the rotation and is given by \( \phi_{eq} \approx -\arcsin(\beta_{rot} \omega_0/E d) \), relative to \( \phi_{frame} = \omega_0 t \).

The numerical value can be estimated by considering the residual gas pressure and species, in the present data, is dominated by \( \approx 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ hPa of H}_2 \text{O} \), as well as typical values of the dipole moment, \( 100 \text{ e}\mu \text{m to} 2000 \text{ e}\mu \text{m} \), and chosen electric field conditions, \( E \approx 10 \text{kV/mto}100 \text{kV/m} \) and \( \omega_0 = 2\pi(25 \text{kHz}) \). We find \( \phi_{eq} \approx -1.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ radto} -2.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ rad} \), and thus, the constant term is dropped from the formalism.

The effect of active feedback via modulation of the phase of the rotating electric field would change the argument of the sin in Eq. (1) to \( \omega_d t + \phi_{m} - \phi' \). Implementing pure derivative gain, to mimic the effect of damping, of the form \( \phi_{m} = -K_d \phi \) with \( K_d \) a tunable constant, and linearizing the equation of motion, we arrive at the result:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \omega_d^2 \phi = -K_d \omega_d^2 \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \xi \right) + \frac{\sqrt{S_{th}}}{I} \eta \tag{1}
\]

with \( \xi \) representing the measurement noise necessarily injected by the feedback, and where we have defined a damping coefficient \( \gamma \) and natural frequency \( \omega_d \):

\[
\gamma = \frac{\beta_{rot}}{T} \quad \omega_d = \sqrt{\frac{E d}{T}} \tag{2}
\]

recognizing the usual equation of motion for a damped harmonic oscillator with forcing terms. Computational delays inherent to the feedback architecture are such that the calculated value of \( \phi \) in the feedback forcing term is in fact delayed in time, i.e. \( \phi = \phi(t - t_{fb}) \) for the term proportional to \( K_d \), with \( t_{fb} \sim \mathcal{O}(100 \mu s) \).

A. Step Response - Homogeneous Solution

Consider the response of the system to a step function, such as would result from a discrete change in the orientation of the rotating electric field. If the step is sufficiently fast compared to the length of one librational period, it can be modeled as an instantaneous effect. Further ignoring the thermally driven portion of the solution and assuming that any transients have been fully damped, the step response can be derived by integrating Eq. (1) subject to the initial conditions \( \phi(0) = \phi_0 \) and \( \dot{\phi}(0) = 0 \), where a step of magnitude \( \phi_0 \) is assumed at time \( t = 0 \).

A proper treatment would consider the full functional form of the potential well \( (U \approx f \sin(\phi \dot{\phi}) \text{ instead of } \sim \dot{\phi}^2) \), include anomalous dissipation generated by the feedback-injected noise \( \xi \), and account for the causal limitation represented by \( t_{fb} \), but for the purpose of this first demonstration of librational cooling, we appeal to the approximated case with a quadratic potential and with \( \xi = 0 \) as well as \( t_{fb} = 0 \). The accuracy and limitations of the approximation will be discussed below. Integrating the simplified equation of motion \( \ddot{\phi} + (\gamma + k_d)\dot{\phi} + \omega_d^2 \phi = 0 \), subject to the aforementioned initial conditions and with \( k_d \equiv K_d \omega_d^2 \) defined for brevity, \( \phi(t) \) is obtained as:

\[
\phi(t) = \phi_0 e^{-\gamma_d t^2/4} \cos \left( \sqrt{\omega_d^2 - \gamma_d^2} \frac{t}{4} \right) \tag{3}
\]

where \( \gamma_d = \gamma + k_d \). The time-constant of the exponential envelope \( \tau = 2/\gamma_d \) is determined by the combined effect of the system’s intrinsic damping \( \gamma \), assumed to be dominated by collisions with residual gas, and the feedback-induced damping \( k_d \), allowing the effect of the feedback to be quantified.
The analytic solution given by Eq. (3) can be evaluated against a numerical solution obtained by integrating the full form of the sinusoidal potential. A Runge-Kutta integrator was used for this purpose, with a few different electric field amplitudes and effective damping coefficients $\gamma_d$, chosen to span the approximate range of both parameters. A comparison between the exponential fits to the decaying envelopes of oscillation for both the analytic solution of the approximate potential, and the numerical solution of the full potential (with otherwise identical $E$, $d$, $I$, $\gamma_d$) is used to estimate the systematic bias associated with the approximated solution above. It is found that a fixed multiplicative factor can account for this bias: $(\bar{\tau})_{\text{truth}} \approx (\bar{\tau})_{\text{linear}} \approx 1.1(\bar{\tau})_{\text{full}}$. Thus, a linear scaling of the observed $\gamma_d$ with increasing derivative gain, derived from a naïve exponential fit to actual data, is expected in the analysis below.

B. Thermal Steady State

The thermally-driven steady state motion of the MS librational degree of freedom should also depend on the level of the applied feedback. An expression for the expected power spectral density of the librational motion can be derived by considering the Fourier transform of Eq. (1), with $k_d = K_d \omega^2$ as before. We generally follow the extensive formalism presented in the Supplemental Material of Ref. [27]. Let $\tilde{\phi}(\omega) \equiv F[\phi(t)]$ and recall for individual Fourier component solutions, we know $F[\phi(t-t_b)] = e^{-i\omega t_b} \tilde{\phi}$ and $F[\tilde{\phi}] = i\omega \tilde{\phi}$, with $t_b$ as before. We find that:

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\sqrt{S_{\phi\phi}/I - i k_d \omega \tilde{\xi}}}{[\omega^2 - \omega^2 + i \omega [\gamma + k_d e^{-i\omega t_b}]}, \quad (4)$$

with $\tilde{\xi}$ being the Fourier transform of the measurement noise. We can compute the expected power spectral density of the librational motion directly:

$$S_{\phi\phi} = S_{\phi\phi}^{\text{fb}} = \frac{S_{\phi\phi}}{G(\omega) + \frac{k_d^2 \omega^2 S_{\xi\xi}}{G(\omega)}}, \quad (5)$$

with denominator $G(\omega) = [\omega^2 - \omega^2 + \omega k_d \sin(\omega t_b)]^2 + \omega^2 [\gamma + k_d \cos(\omega t_b)]^2$, and where it has been assumed that $\xi$ and $\eta$ are uncorrelated.

Solving for the quantity $\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\xi}$, we can compute the expected power spectral density of the libration, as observed from the in-loop detector:

$$S_{IL} = \frac{(\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\xi})(\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\xi})}{G(\omega) + \frac{[\omega^2 - \omega^2]^2 + \gamma^2 \omega^2 S_{\xi\xi}}{G(\omega)}}, \quad (6)$$

with $G(\omega)$ as before.

The intended effect of the feedback is to introduce additional damping. However, due to the fixed temporal phase shift $t_b$, associated to this particular feedback implementation, the effect on the observed power spectral density is nontrivial. Importantly, there is a noise injection term proportional to $S_{\xi\xi}$, but suppressed at the resonance, and the denominator $G(\omega)$ induces a clear asymmetry in the observed spectral density. The former effect is the well-understood result of noise cancellation in the detector induced by the feedback system, and is often referred to as noise squashing [27–29]. Systems with both in-loop and out-of-loop detectors can circumvent the noise squashing, but the signal level in this first iteration of librational cooling was not sufficient for distribution to multiple detectors.

The parameter $k_d$ can be extracted by fitting Eq. (6) to the observed spectra, and together with the known values of $I$, $\omega$, and $\gamma$, an effective temperature of the librational motion can be estimated. From equipartition and Parseval’s theorem, maintaining the convention of single-sided PSDs, the effective mode temperature can be calculated:

$$k_B T_{\text{eff}} = I \omega^2 \langle \phi^2 \rangle$$

$$= I \omega^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty S_{\phi\phi} d\omega$$

$$\approx \frac{I \omega^2}{4} \left[ \frac{S_{\phi\phi}}{\omega^2 (\gamma + k_d)} + \frac{k_d^2 S_{\xi\xi}}{\gamma + k_d} \right] \quad (7)$$

where in the final line it has been assumed that $t_b = 0$ in order arrive at a closed form expression for $T_{\text{eff}}$. Clearly, any estimation via the expression in Eq. (7) will have limited accuracy since it is known that $t_b \neq 0$. The acquired spectra will be numerically integrated in future iterations of the apparatus, taking advantage of both improved signal-to-noise and a dedicated out-of-loop sensor.

IV. RESULTS

Librational feedback cooling was demonstrated with three distinct MSs, all from the same lot with diameter $(4.70 \pm 0.08) \mu m$ and each trapped for approximately one month. A variety of different derivative gain values, $K_d$, were used, as well as few different electric field amplitudes so that effective values of $k_d$ span roughly 4 orders of magnitude. The degree of cooling for a specific choice of parameters was quantified via two distinct methods: application of a step, and thermalization.

A. Discrete Phase Step

As the driving voltages that source the rotating electric field are generated by a single top-level DDS, it is possible to apply arbitrary phase shifts by propagating the phase of each of the four output sinusoids simultaneously.
As a result, the applied electric field rapidly changes orientation. Electric field phase changes of $\Delta \phi = \pm \pi/2$ in this system, corresponding to drive voltage amplitude changes of $(1/2)$ the peak-to-peak voltage, have been measured to have rise times $t_{\text{rise}} < 2\mu$s. This is consistent with both the 50 kΩ termination resistance and 30 pF electrode-to-ground capacitance as well as the $\sim 500 \text{ kHz}$ full-scale bandwidth of the driving amplifier. The frequency of libration can be controlled by tuning the electric field, and usually has values $\omega_0 = \sqrt{E d / I} \sim O(2\pi \times 1\text{ kHz})$, so that $\omega_0 t_{\text{rise}} \lesssim 0.01 \text{ rad}$, and thus the finite rise time of the step has a negligible effect on the dynamics. This is sufficiently fast that it is effectively instantaneous relative to the $O(1\text{ kHz})$ fundamental frequency of the libration.

For the measurements presented here, a step of $\Delta \phi = \pm \pi/2$ was applied to the rotating electric field (where the $\pm$ indicates that the phase offset was applied alternately in the ‘forward’ and ‘backward’ directions), and the subsequent ringdown of the MS’s librational motion was observed. Between successive measurements, the feedback gain and electric field amplitude were first altered to their new values, and then the motion was allowed to thermalize for $>3000\text{ s}$, following the expected torsional damping times (dependent on the base pressure of the vacuum chamber) observed previously on the same system [8]. A few examples of the measured response to such a step are shown in Fig. 3, for one specific MS.

The libration is extracted from the cross-polarized light signal, first by Hilbert transforming the primary rotation signal at $2\omega_0 = 2 \times 2\pi (50 \text{ kHz})$, which yields $2\phi'$, the angular position of the MS in the lab frame. Using the known drive frequency $\omega_0$, the libration is then reconstructed as $\phi = \phi' - \omega_0 t$. Finally, the amplitude envelope of the libration is extracted by a second Hilbert transform of the reconstructed $\phi$.

A damping time is inferred by downsampling the amplitude envelope, and then fitting the result with a decaying exponential, including a constant offset to account for the RMS amplitude of the thermal motion after the transient response has fully decayed. The fit of the amplitude envelope is constrained to the domain $[t_0 + 0.1\tau_0, t_0 + 2.0\tau_0]$ where $t_0$ indicates when the step was applied and $\tau_0$ is an initial estimate of the $e$-folding time obtained from the mean of data samples that cross $\phi \sim (\pi/2)e^{-1}$ after the step. From Sec. III and the aforementioned numerical integrations of the full potential (rather than the harmonic approximation), we know that the decay time, $\tau \approx 2/\gamma$, will be systematically underestimated by the naïve exponential fit to actual data, but by a fixed multiplicative constant. Regardless, the scaling of the decay time from the fit $\tau$ as a function of $k_d$ still allows characterization of the cooling.

A summary of all step response measurements is shown in Fig. 4, with the extracted $\tau$ plotted as a function of the derivative gain. When the derivative gain is sufficiently small, there is some intrinsic damping that dominates. Interestingly, the zero-feedback damping times observed, $O(10\text{ s})$, are inconsistent with the expected value of $\tau \approx 2/\gamma \approx 21/\beta_{\text{rot}} \sim 4000\text{ s}$ [7, 8] given the base pressure achieved in this vacuum system. This may be the result of phase noise either in the top-level DDS sourcing the electric field, or in the synchronization of the various ADCs, DACs, and digital demodulation operations. It may also be symptomatic of non-linearities, such as

FIG. 3. The amplitude envelope of the libration in response to an applied step, for a variety of derivative gain values, including $k_d = 0$ (color online). A dashed line indicates the result of exponential fitting, with the extracted damping time showing in the legend. (inset) an example of the underlying oscillation of the librational motion for the largest value of derivative gain. Some filtering artifacts are present immediately following the step, and are excluded from the exponential fit.

FIG. 4. Summary of the libration phase step measurements. The damping time extracted from exponential fits is plotted as a function of the applied derivative gain. Different colors indicate different electric field amplitudes, while different marker shapes indicate distinct MSs. (left) Damping times in the absence of applied feedback, showing a clear dependence on both drive amplitude and MS, with the former being a monotonic relation. Data from distinct MSs has been offset horizontally to aid their visibility. (right) damping times with feedback on. Dashed lines indicate fits to the expected scaling relation $\tau = 2/(\gamma + C k_d)$, where $C$ is an arbitrary scaling constant found to be necessary to match the observed relation between $k_d$ and $\tau$. 

\[ \text{drive voltage [V]} \]
those that might arise from higher-order charge distributions present in the MS.

From Sec. III, the exponential damping time might be expected to follow the relation $\tilde{\tau} = 2/(\gamma + k_d)$. The data are inconsistent with this expectation, instead being described by the relation $\tilde{\tau} \sim 2/(\gamma + CK_d)$ with $C$ a positive scaling constant. The constant $C$ is different for distinct MSs, but consistent across drive voltage for each MS. For the three whose data are presented here: $C_1 = 0.29 \pm 0.03$, $C_2 = 0.53 \pm 0.05$, and $C_3 = 0.24 \pm 0.03$. As was noted in Sec. III, a systematic bias in the estimate of $\hat{\tau}$ is expected from fitting a naïve exponential to the ringdowns within the sinusoidal potential, but this factor of $\hat{\tau} \to 1.1\hat{\tau}$ does not explain the observed deviation, nor would it be different between MSs, under the construction presented here. This is discussed further in the next section.

B. Thermalization

Immediately prior to a phase step measurement, but after the long 3000 s thermalization time, 200 s of librational motion are monitored and digitized, in 20 continuous 10 s integrations. For each integration, the libration $\phi$ is extracted with the same Hilbert transform discussed in the previous section, and the power spectral density is estimated by squaring the Fourier transform of the digitized signal. The observed motion is sufficiently small so that the approximation $\sin \phi \approx \phi$ is valid.

An exemplary pair of two such 200 s datasets with one MS are shown in Fig. 5, where the PSD of the individual 10 s are shown, as well as the PSD of the concatenated signal. Between successive integrations, the central frequency of the librational motion $\omega_\phi$ appears to change by $O(0.1 Hz)$, and as a result, the PSD of the concatenated signal appears anomalously broadened. Furthermore, under identical conditions, but $\sim$5 h later, the central frequency continued to drift by $> 2 Hz$. Both effects can hinder the estimations of damping, given that $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma - k_d$ is extracted from the width of the observed spectral feature. Dividing the data into smaller blocks, effectively implementing shorter integration times, provides little improvement given the fixed sampling rate and frequency resolution implied the Nyquist-Shannon sampling limit [30, 31].

In order to mitigate the effect of this drift, first, the central frequency of libration $\omega_i$, of each of the $i$ integrations is estimated by fitting the spectral feature to Eq. (5) with $S_1 = 0$ and $T_{th} = 0$, but an added constant offset to account for detector noise. From these estimations, a mean central frequency can be defined $\bar{\omega} \equiv (1/N) \sum_i \omega_i$. The librational motion in each integration is then frequency shifted by $\Delta \omega = \bar{\omega} - \omega_i$. The frequency shift is accomplished by assuming the filtered signal $\phi(t)$ can be represented by $\hat{\phi}(t) = A(t) \cos [\omega_i t + \theta(t)]$, as well as the implicit requirement that $A(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ change slowly relative to $\omega_i$. The analytic representation of the signal can then be constructed from the Hilbert transform, $\mathcal{H}$, as $\phi_a(t) = \phi + i \mathcal{H}[\phi] = A(t) \exp \{i[\omega_i t + \theta(t)]\}$, so that a frequency shift can be implemented simply via the multiplication $\hat{\phi}_a \cdot \exp(i\Delta\omega t)$.

The real part of the frequency-shifted analytic signal is then the desired librational motion, which is constructed separately for each individual integration. The average PSD of all such integrations for one 200 s measurement is fit to Eq. (6) in order to estimate $k_d$ and $S_1$, where the value of $\gamma$ is loosely constrained to $2/\tau(k_d = 0)$, i.e. the level of intrinsic damping observed during ringdown measurements when the effect of the active feedback is negligible. A few examples of the average PSDs together with their fits are shown in Fig. 6, and a summary of all the fitting results in Fig. 7, with $T_{eff}$, calculated from Eq. (7), plotted as a function of $k_d$.

C. Anomalous dissipation

The formalism presented in Sec. III suggests that $\omega_\phi = \sqrt{Ed}/\tau$, which would normally be assumed constant for a fixed electric field magnitude. Clearly, the measurements presented here are inconsistent with that assumption, and there is not only a source of anom-
lous dissipation for the librational degree of freedom, as was shown in Sec. IV A, but also slow drifts in the central frequency, as seen in Sec. IV B. The magnitude of the electric field is measured to be constant within ±50 V/m, consistent with the absolute accuracy of the DACs sourcing it, ~0.03% of their full scale, as reported by the manufacturer over both 24 h and 1 year timescales [32].

This naturally implies that some combination of the MS electric dipole moment d and moment of inertia I are fluctuating. For the MSs used [17], electric dipole moments over the range 100 e µm to 2000 e µm have been observed [19]. The underlying mechanism that gives rise to these dipole moments is not fully understood and it has been observed that ionization of residual gas in close proximity to a trapped MS can greatly affect the magnitude of the dipole moment [8].

It is natural then to suggest that both the the anomalous dissipation and slow drifts of the central frequency are a result of a changing charge multipole within the MS. Using one of the three MSs presented in this work, two dedicated dipole moment measurements following the procedure first established in Ref. [7] and separated by O(1 month) yielded 1804 ± 39(stat.) ± 84(sys.) e µm initially, and then 1094 ± 24(stat.) ± 51(sys.) e µm, a very significant change for what has often been assumed a persistent physical characteristic of the MSs. Indeed, multiple physics searches with this and similar apparatuses have encountered systematic effects consistent with electromagnetic interactions that slowly fluctuate in time [15, 16].

V. CONCLUSION

We have successfully demonstrated feedback cooling of a librational degree of freedom of an optically trapped silica microsphere in vacuum. In this first implementation of librational cooling, feedback was accomplished primarily with damping constructed from the derivative of the libration. The level of feedback was tuned over roughly four orders of magnitude for an individual microsphere, characterized by applying both a step and observing the resulting transient, as well as analyzing the steady-state motion once it has thermalized with the environment. Transient damping times scale inversely with the applied derivative gain, as expected, although with an overall systematic bias that is distinct across individual microspheres, and is as of yet unexplained. Thermally-driven power spectral densities of the libration have widths consistent with the applied derivative gain when the latter is sufficiently large.

Both the step response and steady-state measurements presented suggest some source of anomalous dissipation in this system, as well as drifts of the physical properties of optically trapped microspheres. The librational damping time and thermal spectral width in the absence of feedback are inconsistent with expectation from fluctuations driven by residual gas, although this is likely a symptom of noise in the driving the electronics given the
observed scaling with drive amplitude, and furthermore it can be tested in the future with improved hardware. At the same time, large drifts in the fundamental frequency of the libration are observed, suggesting the ratio \((d/I)\) is changing by up to a factor of two. Any such changes would have consequences for precision measurements limited by electrostatic backgrounds.
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