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I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmic-ray muons and their spallation products are potential sources of background for neutrino detectors, double-β-decay experiments, and dark-matter searches, even when the detectors are deployed underground. Characterizing cosmic-ray-muon-induced backgrounds, particularly the secondary neutrons and radioactive isotopes produced by muon-initiated spallation processes, is essential for interpreting these experiments.

Liquid-scintillator detectors such as the KamLAND (Kamioka liquid-scintillator antineutrino detector), Borexino [1–3], CANDLES IV (calcium fluoride for studies of neutrino and dark matters by low-energy spectrometer) [4,5], SNO+ (Sudbury Neutrino Observatory) [6,7], LENS (low-energy neutrino spectroscopy) [8], and LENA (low-energy neutrino astronomy) [9] are designed to detect low-energy phenomena. In an organic liquid scintillator (LS), energetic muons and subsequent showers interact mostly with $^{12}\text{C}$, the most abundant nucleus heavier than $^1\text{H}$ in the LS, generating neutrons and isotopes by electromagnetic or hadronic processes. The muon-initiated spallation of carbon targets is a matter of primary interest.

Isotope production by muon-initiated spallation has been studied by an earlier experiment [10] using the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) muon beam. The energy dependence was studied with 100 and 190 GeV incident muons. The production yield at other energies is estimated from these data by extrapolation, assuming a power-law dependence on the muon energy. Direct measurements of the production yield by underground detectors such as the Mont Blanc liquid scintillation detector (LSD) [11], the INFN large-volume detector (LVD) [12], and Borexino [13] were compared with calculations exploiting simulations based on MUSIC [14], FLUKA [15,16], and GEANT4 [17,18]. Particular attention was paid to neutron production, since isotope production measurements are difficult with the small scintillator masses used in these detectors. KamLAND, owing to its larger mass—$\sim$1 kiloton of LS—does not suffer from this difficulty and is well placed to study a variety of isotopes of interest.

This paper presents the neutron and isotope production rates in KamLAND from muon-initiated spallation based upon data collected from 5 March 2002 to 12 May 2007. The results are compared with simulations and other experiments. These comparisons provide important information for validating Monte Carlo simulations.

II. DETECTOR DESCRIPTION AND PERFORMANCE

KamLAND is located under the peak of Ikenoyama (Ike Mountain, 36.42°N, 137.31°E), and the vertical rock overburden is approximately 2700 meters water equivalent (mwe). A schematic diagram of KamLAND is shown in Fig. 1. KamLAND consists of an active detector region of approximately 1 kiloton (kton) of ultrapure LS contained in a 13-m-diameter spherical balloon made of 135-μm-thick transparent nylon-EVOH (ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer) composite film and supported by a network of Kevlar ropes. In addition to providing containment for the LS, the balloon protects the LS against the diffusion of ambient radon from the surrounding components. The total volume of LS in the balloon is 1171 ± 25 m$^3$ as determined with flow meters during the initial filling. The LS consists of 80% dodecane and 20% pseudocumene (1,2,4-trimethylbenzene) by volume, and 1.36 ± 0.03 g/liter of the fluors PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole). The density of the LS is 0.780 g/cm$^3$ at 11.5°C. The calculated elemental composition of the LS is given in Table I.

A buffer comprising 57% isoparaffin and 43% dodecane oils by volume fills the region between the balloon and the surrounding 18-m-diameter spherical stainless-steel outer vessel to shield the LS from external radiation. The specific gravity of the buffer oil (BO) is adjusted to be 0.04% lower than that of the LS. An array of photomultiplier tubes (PMTs)—1325 specially developed fast PMTs masked to 17 in. diameter and 554 older 20-in.-diameter PMTs reused from the Kamiokande experiment [21]—are mounted on the inner surface of the outer containment vessel, providing 34% photocathode coverage. During the period from 5 March 2002 to 27 February 2003, the photocathode coverage was only 22%.

![FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the KamLAND detector at the Kamioka underground laboratory.](image)

TABLE I. Elemental composition of the KamLAND liquid scintillator. The hydrogen-to-carbon ratio was verified by elemental analysis (±2%). The liquid scintillator contains traces of nitrogen and oxygen from its fluors, PPO (C$_{12}$H$_{17}$NO), and dissolved gases. Measurements in similar liquid hydrocarbons [19,20] indicate that the amount of dissolved nitrogen saturates at about 200–500 parts per million (ppm). The range of nitrogen composition values given in this table reflects the extreme cases of zero dissolved nitrogen gas to full saturation. The dissolved oxygen content of liquid scintillator taken from the center of KamLAND was measured to be less than 3 ppm, which is insignificant compared to the oxygen contribution from PPO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Stoichiometry</th>
<th>Number of targets (per kiloton)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>$8.47 \times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4.30 \times 10^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-4}$ to $6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{27}$ to $3 \times 10^{28}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{27}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
since the 20-in. PMTs were not operated. A 3-mm-thick acrylic barrier at 16.6 m in diameter helps prevent radon emanating from the PMT glass from entering the BO. The inner detector (ID), consisting of the LS and BO regions, is surrounded by a 3.2-kton water-Cherenkov detector instrumented with 225 20-in. PMTs. This outer detector (OD) absorbs γ rays and neutrons from the surrounding rock and enables tagging of cosmic-ray muons.

The KamLAND front-end electronics (FEE) system is based on the analog transient waveform digitizer (ATWD) [22] which captures PMT signals in 128 10-bit digital samples at intervals of 1.5 ns. Each ATWD captures three gain levels of a PMT signal to obtain a dynamic range from 1 photoelectron (p.e.) to 1000 p.e. Each ATWD takes 27 µs to read out, so two are attached to each PMT channel to reduce dead time. The FEE system contains discriminators set at 0.15 p.e. (∼0.3 mV) threshold which send a 125-ns-long logic signal to the trigger electronics. The trigger electronics counts the number of ID and OD PMTs above the discriminator threshold with a sampling rate of 40 MHz and initiates readout when the number of 17-in. ID PMTs above the discriminator threshold exceeds a preset number.

The energy can be estimated from the $N_{\text{max}}$ parameter, defined as the maximum value of $N_{17}$ in a 200-ns period following the trigger command. However, the offline analysis takes full advantage of the information stored in the digitized PMT signals by identifying individual PMT pulses in the waveform information that is read out. The time and integrated area (called “charge”) are computed from the individual pulses. For each PMT, the average charge corresponding to a single p.e. is determined from single-pulse waveforms observed in low-occupancy events. The ID PMT timing is calibrated with light pulses from a dye laser (∼1.2-ns pulse width), injected at the center of the detector through an optical fiber. The vertices of spatially localized low-energy (<30 MeV) events are estimated by comparing calculated time-of-flights of optical photons from the hypothetical vertex to the measured arrival times at the PMTs in KamLAND.

The reconstructed energies of events are calibrated with γ sources: $^{203}\text{Hg}$, $^{68}\text{Ge}$, $^{65}\text{Zn}$, and $^{60}\text{Co}$; and with $n + \gamma$ sources: $^{241}\text{Am} + ^{9}\text{Be}$ and $^{210}\text{Po} + ^{13}\text{C}$ [23]. These are deployed at various positions along the vertical axis of the detector and occasionally off the vertical axis within 5.5 m from the detector center [24]. Such calibrations cover energies between 0.28 and 6.1 MeV. The energy calibration is aided with studies of background contaminants $^{40}\text{K}$ and $^{208}\text{TI}$, $^{212}\text{Bi} \rightarrow ^{212}\text{Po}$, and $^{214}\text{Bi} \rightarrow ^{214}\text{Po}$ sequential decays, $^{12}\text{B}$ and $^{12}\text{N}$ spallation products, and γ’s from thermal neutron captures on $^1\text{H}$ and $^{12}\text{C}$.

The visible energy $E_{\text{vis}}$ of an event is computed from the measured light yield. Specifically, $E_{\text{vis}}$ is the number of detected p.e. after corrections for PMT variation, dark noise, solid angle, shadowing by suspension ropes, optical transparencies, and scattering properties in the LS. The relationship between $E_{\text{vis}}$ and the deposited energy $E_{\text{dep}}$ of γ’s, $e^\pm$’s, protons, and α’s is nonlinear and modeled as a combination of Birks-quenched scintillation [25,26] and Cherenkov radiation. The scale is adjusted so that $E_{\text{vis}}$ is equal to $E_{\text{dep}}$ for the 2.225-MeV γ ray from neutron capture on $^1\text{H}$. The observed energy resolution is $\sim 7.4\% / \sqrt{E_{\text{vis}}(\text{MeV})}$ for the period without the 20-in. PMTs, and $\sim 6.5\% / \sqrt{E_{\text{vis}}(\text{MeV})}$ for the rest of the data.

The calibration sources are also used to determine systematic deviations in position reconstruction by comparison with the source’s known position. This comparison gives an average position reconstruction uncertainty of less than 3 cm for events with energies in the range 0.28 to 6.1 MeV.

III. COSMIC-RAY MUONS

A digital map [27] of the topological profile of Ikenoyama is shown in Fig. 2. The vertical overburden at KamLAND is approximately 1000 m of rock, and the minimum overburden corresponding to a nearby valley is approximately 900 m.

Cosmic-ray muons are identified either by the large amount of scintillation and Cherenkov light detected by the ID PMTs, or by the Cherenkov light detected by the OD PMTs. Muons crossing the ID (ID muons) are selected by requiring that one of the following conditions was satisfied:

(i) $L_{\text{ID}} \geq 10000$ p.e. (∼30 MeV),
(ii) $L_{\text{ID}} \geq 500$ p.e., and $N_{\text{OD}} \geq 5$,

where $L_{\text{ID}}$ is the total light yield measured by the ID 17-in. PMTs, and $N_{\text{OD}}$ is the number of OD PMTs with signals above threshold. Approximately 93% of the ID muons

![FIG. 2. (Color) Ikenoyama topological profile [27]. The black point near the center is the location of KamLAND.](image-url)
satisfy the first selection criterion. The ID muon track is
reconstructed from arrival times of the first-arriving Cherenkov
or scintillation photons at the PMTs. Since for relativistic
muons the wavefront of the scintillation light proceeds at the
Cherenkov angle, and since muons generate enough light to
generate photoelectrons in every PMT, by restricting the fit
to the first-arriving photons both Cherenkov and scintillation
photons can be treated identically. The observed muon track
is then established by minimizing time-of-flight deviations
from hypothetical muon tracks. The fit converges for 97% of
all ID muon events. The majority of the events that are not
reconstructed are believed to be multiple muons or muons
accompanied by large electromagnetic or hadronic showers
for which the tracking model is not valid.

Muons passing only through the BO produce mostly
Cherenkov light, whereas muons passing through the LS
generate both Cherenkov and scintillation light. Figure 3
shows the correlation between the light yield ($L_{ID}$) and
the shortest distance between the reconstructed muon track
and the center of KamLAND (impact parameter). The boundary
at 650 cm between the BO and LS regions is evident. The
correlations between $L_{ID}$ and the reconstructed muon track
length in the BO and LS regions ($L_{BO}$ and $L_{LS}$, respectively)
are plotted in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). A linear trend, corresponding
to minimum ionizing muons, is apparent in both distributions.
The slope of each line is the light yield per unit length in the
respective material. In BO, where the light is predominantly
Cherenkov, the light yield per unit length is found to be
$\langle dL_C/dX \rangle = 31 \pm 2$ p.e./cm; the fit was restricted to path
lengths above 700 cm, since fits at shorter path lengths are
complicated by the presence of PMTs which may obstruct
some of the emitted light. In the LS, we obtain

$$dL_S/dX = \frac{L_{ID} - L_{BO}(dL_C/dX)}{L_{LS}} = 629 \pm 47 \text{ p.e./cm,}$$

(1)

where $dL_S/dX$ includes the Cherenkov light created in the
LS. The muons in Fig. 4 generating light yields above the
baseline linear trend are likely to involve secondary particles.

We define an excess light yield parameter $\Delta L$,

$$\Delta L = L_{ID} - L_{BO} \left( \frac{dL_C}{dX} \right) - L_{LS} \left( \frac{dL_S}{dX} \right),$$

(2)

for the purpose of describing showering muons associated with
secondary particles.

The LS muon rate is estimated by selecting muons with
$L_{ID} > 4 \times 10^3$ p.e. and impact parameter <650 cm. The light
yield cut has a negligible inefficiency for LS muons, while the
impact parameter cut eliminates LS muons that reconstruct
outside the balloon because of the resolution of the fitter
algorithm. This provides the lower limit on the LS muon
rate. An upper limit is established by removing the impact
parameter cut and increasing the $L_{ID}$ cut to >$10^5$ p.e. to
eliminate muons that pass through the BO without transversing
the LS. This cut again has a small inefficiency for LS muons but
does not eliminate muons which shower in the BO. Averaging
the two measurements yields $R_\mu = 0.198 \pm 0.014$ Hz, where
the error estimate is dominated by systematic uncertainties
due to temporal variations, the difference between the two
measurements, and the presence of muon bundles, in which
multiple simultaneous muons may be tagged as single-muon
events. Using the parametrization of Ref. [28], the effect
of muon bundles was estimated to be <5%. The muon
rate corresponds to an integrated muon intensity of $J_\mu =
5.37 \pm 0.41 \text{ m}^2 \text{ h}^{-1}$, where the error includes uncertainties in
the shape of the LS volume but is dominated by the uncertainty
in the muon rate. Using a cut of $\Delta L > 10^6$ p.e., which is
equivalent to a ~3 GeV threshold, the rate of showering muons
in the LS is ~0.03 Hz. It is possible that some atmospheric
neutrino interactions leak into the LS muon sample. However, an estimate with the neutrino flux from Ref. [29] and cross sections from Ref. [30] gives less than $4 \times 10^{-5}$ Hz from atmospheric neutrinos. The muon track length distribution is shown in Fig. 5. The measured average track length is \( L_\mu = 878 \text{ cm} \), in agreement with the calculated value of \( L_\mu = 874 \pm 13 \text{ cm} \), where the nonspherical corrections to the balloon shape and the muon angular distributions were taken into account.

The production yield of radioactive isotopes from muon-initiated spallation is energy dependent. KamLAND does not measure the muon energy, so it is estimated from simulation. There are previous estimates of the mean energy \( E_\mu \) ranging from 198 GeV [31] to 285 GeV [10,32] at the Kamiokande, KamLAND, and SuperKamiokande detectors. The authors of Ref. [33] made a detailed determination of \( J_\mu \) and \( \overline{E}_\mu \) using the muon simulation code (MUSIC) [14] to transport muons, generated according to the modified Gaisser parameterization [34] sea-level muon flux distribution, through a digital profile of Ikenoyama. Although this calculation reproduces the zenith and azimuthal angular distributions observed by KamLAND, the calculation overestimates \( J_\mu \) by 14% relative to this work. The calculation assumed a homogeneous rock entirely of the Inishi type (see Table II of Ref. [33] for the chemical composition), but other rock types, such as granite, limestone, and several types of metamorphic rock, are common in Ikenoyama in unknown quantities [35]. Table II shows the result of calculations of \( J_\mu \) and \( \overline{E}_\mu \) using the same simulation method of Ref. [33] but for standard rock [36,37] and generic skarn, a generic mixture of rock types found in a skarn-type mine like that of Ikenoyama. Here, generic skarn is defined to be 70% granite and 30% calcite by weight. The \( J_\mu \) values range from \( 4.90 \text{ m}^2 \text{ h}^{-1} \), for 2.75 g/cm$^2$ specific gravity generic skarn, to \( 6.71 \text{ m}^2 \text{ h}^{-1} \), for 2.65 g/cm$^2$ specific gravity Inishi rock, while \( \overline{E}_\mu \) varies from 254 to 268 GeV. The value of \( J_\mu \) for 2.70 g/cm$^2$ specific gravity standard rock is 5.38 m$^2$ h$^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with our measured value. The value of \( \overline{E}_\mu \) for this rock is 259 GeV. We take \( \overline{E}_\mu = 260 \pm 8 \text{ GeV} \), where the uncertainty is chosen to cover the full range for the various rock types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>( J_\mu ) (m$^2$ h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>( \overline{E}_\mu ) (GeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inishi rock</td>
<td>5.66–6.71</td>
<td>262–268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard rock</td>
<td>4.95–5.83</td>
<td>256–262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic skarn</td>
<td>4.90–5.82</td>
<td>254–260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This measurement 5.37 ± 0.41

![FIG. 5. (Color) Distribution of muon track lengths in the KamLAND LS. The dashed blue line shows the expected linear distribution for a sphere of nominal volume, and the average track length measured in the detector is 878 cm, in agreement with the value 874 ± 13 cm calculated using the proper LS shape and muon angular distributions.](image)

**IV. SPALLATION NEUTRON YIELD**

Most of the neutrons produced in the KamLAND LS are captured on hydrogen or carbon atoms. The capture cross section varies inversely with respect to velocity, and the mean neutron capture time \( \tau_m \) is constant with respect to energy. The capture time \( \tau_m \) distribution is exponential, \( P(\tau) \propto e^{-\tau/\tau_m} \). A calculation using the elemental composition of the KamLAND LS given in Table I and the thermal neutron capture cross sections from Ref. [38] gives \( \tau_m = 206 \mu s \). This calculation indicates that 99.5% of the neutrons are captured on $^1$H, while the remainder are captured mostly on $^{12}$C. The probability for capture on the other isotopes in the LS, such as $^{13}$C, is $2 \times 10^{-4}$ or less.

Neutrons produced by muon-initiated spallation in the LS can be identified by the characteristic capture $\gamma$ rays. Figure 6 shows the $E_{vis}$ distributions in signal ($150 \leq \Delta T < 1000 \mu s$) and background ($4150 \leq \Delta T < 5000 \mu s$) coincidence windows following some muons, where $\Delta T \equiv (t - t_\mu)$ is the time elapsed since the muon’s passage. The $E_{vis}$ distribution clearly shows peaks from neutron captures on $^1$H (2.225 MeV) and $^{12}$C (4.9 MeV), which are not evident in the background. Figure 7 shows the $\Delta T$ distribution for events within the

![FIG. 6. Visible energy spectra of the events following muons in the signal time window 150 \leq \Delta T < 1000 \mu s (crosses) compared with background in the time window 4150 \leq \Delta T < 5000 \mu s (dashes). The low-energy tails in the $n^1$H and $n^{12}$C peaks are caused by the electronics effect discussed in the text. In the time window 1300 \leq \Delta T < 2150 \mu s (solid), there is a small $n^1$H peak without the low-energy tail.](image)
FIG. 7. (Color) Time difference between a muon and the neutron capture event, with the cuts $1.8 < E_{\text{vis}} < 2.6$ MeV. The red line shows the fit restricted to the region $\Delta T \geq 1300$ µs. The fit results in $N_n = (4.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^6$ with $\chi^2 / \text{d.o.f.} = 98 / 118$.

The fit shown in Fig. 7 in the region $\Delta T \geq 1300$ µs results in $N_n = (4.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^6$ and $\chi^2 / \text{d.o.f.} = 98 / 118$.

The actual number of neutrons $N_n$ produced by muon-initiated spallation is related to the fit result $N_n$ by an efficiency $\epsilon_n$,

$$N_n = \frac{N_n}{\epsilon_n},$$

which accounts for other neutron-eliminating nuclear reactions such as $^{12}$C$(n, p)$ and a net neutron loss at the LS-BO boundary. This efficiency is calculated using the MUSIC-based muon simulation described in Sec. III and the GEANT4-based Monte Carlo of KamLAND described in Sec. VIA. The muon simulation generates muons with a three-momentum distribution appropriate to the KamLAND site inside Ikenoyama. Muon transport is then modeled through KamLAND. In this simulation, neutrons are created and destroyed; neutrons that survive to thermalization are tracked until they are captured. Energy scale nonlinearities and the finite $\gamma$-ray resolution are included. Two competing effects of the LS-BO boundary are taken into account. The first is for neutrons produced by muons in the LS that leak out, leading to an under counting of neutrons. The second is for neutrons produced outside of the LS that leak in, primarily from the BO, leading to an over count. The leak-out fraction $(11.7 \pm 1.9\%)$ is larger than the leak-in fraction $(5.4 \pm 0.4\%)$, resulting in a net $(6.7 \pm 2.0\%)$ correction. The component of the efficiency related to the electronics dead time for high-multiplicity events is measured by comparing the number of recorded waveforms with the number of trigger commands. The correction is measured to be less than $2\%$ for $\Delta T \geq 1300$ µs. In counting neutrons, we use the definition by which the $(n, 2n)$ reaction generates one new neutron and the $(n, n')$ reaction generates no new neutrons. This method gives an efficiency $\epsilon_n = (89.4 \pm 3.8\%)$ (broken down in Table III).

Using Eq. (4), we find $N_n = (4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6$, which is then used to extract the neutron production yield:

$$Y_n = \frac{N_n}{R_n T_L \rho L_\mu},$$

where $R_n = 0.198 \pm 0.014$ Hz is the measured rate of LS muons, $T_L = 1.24 \times 10^8$ s is the detector live time, $\rho = 0.780 \pm 0.001$ g/cm$^3$ is the density of the LS, and $L_\mu = 874 \pm 13$ cm is the calculated mean muon track length. The resulting neutron production yield is $Y_n = (2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ µ$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, with $(64 \pm 5)$% of the neutrons produced by events classified as showering muons.

TABLE III. Summary of the dominant contributions to the neutron detection efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutron-eliminating reactions, e.g., $(n, p)$</td>
<td>$(96.3 \pm 3.7)%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron captures on $^1$H</td>
<td>$(99.5 \pm 0.1)%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS-BO boundary</td>
<td>$(93.3 \pm 2.0)%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics dead time effects</td>
<td>$&gt;98%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined efficiency</td>
<td>$(89.4 \pm 3.8)%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. SPALLATION ISOTOPE YIELD

The method for determining the yields of spallation-generated isotopes is similar to the neutron analysis described in Sec. IV. Spallation-generated isotopes are identified by their decay time relative to their creation and by their decay energy. The decay time, $\Delta T \equiv t - t_{0i}$, is calculated for each event relative to all previous muons. Usually, several different isotopes decay in a given time window. The number of each isotope produced, $N_i$, is obtained from a binned maximum likelihood fit [39] to the $\Delta T$ distribution, using the function

$$r(t) = \sum_i N_i e^{-(t-t_{0i})/\tau_i} + r_B,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $N_i$ is related to $N_i$ by an event selection efficiency ($N_i = N_i/\epsilon_i$), $\tau_i$ is the mean lifetime, and $r_B$ is a constant rate of uncorrelated background events that are in accidental coincidence with muons.

In terms of $N_i$, the spallation production yield for isotope $i$ is equal to

$$Y_i = \frac{N_i}{R_i T_L \rho L_{\mu}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $R_i$, $T_L$, $\rho$, and $L_{\mu}$ are defined as in Eq. (5). The spallation production rate for isotope $i$ is equal to

$$R_i = \frac{N_i}{\rho V_{T} T_L},$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $V_T = 1171 \pm 25 \text{ m}^3$ is the target volume.

A. $^{12}\text{B}$ and $^{12}\text{N}$

$^{12}\text{B}$ ($\tau = 29.1 \text{ ms}$, $Q = 13.4 \text{ MeV}$) [40] $\beta^-$-decay and $^{12}\text{N}$ ($\tau = 15.9 \text{ ms}$, $Q = 17.3 \text{ MeV}$) [40] $\beta^+$-decay candidate events are selected via cuts on $E_{\text{vis}}$ and $\Delta T$. The inset in Fig. 8 shows the distribution of $\Delta T$ for events with $4 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 20 \text{ MeV}$ and $2 \leq \Delta T < 500 \text{ ms}$. A binned maximum likelihood fit to the $\Delta T$ distribution using Eq. (6), and including the long-lived isotopes $^8\text{He}$, $^6\text{Li}$, $^9\text{C}$, and $^{12}\text{N}$ as contaminants, yields $N^{(12)}(\text{B}) = (5.94 \pm 0.10) \times 10^4$ events with $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 509/495. Longer lived isotopes give roughly constant decay rates on this time scale and fit out as a component of $r_B$. A similar fit to the $\Delta T$ distribution for events with $14 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 20 \text{ MeV}$, where the higher $\Delta T$ threshold is imposed to exclude $^{12}\text{B}$, gives $N^{(12)}(\text{N}) = (2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^2$ events. A comparison with the predicted $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum is shown in Fig. 8. The $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectra are predicted from the allowed $^{12}\text{B}$ and $^{12}\text{N} \beta^+$-decay spectra, taking into account the KamLAND detector response, normalized to the observed $N^{(12)}(\text{B})$ and $N^{(12)}(\text{N})$. The detector response model includes the energy nonlinearities and boundary effects described in Sec. II.

The inefficiency in identifying $^{12}\text{B}$ and $^{12}\text{N}$ candidates is dominated by the $E_{\text{vis}}$ cut. The efficiencies calculated by integrating the predicted $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectra over the selection window give $\epsilon^{(12)}(\text{B}) = (82.9 \pm 0.7)\%$ and $\epsilon^{(12)}(\text{N}) = (9.3 \pm 1.6)\%$, where the errors come from the uncertainty in the detector response. Using Eq. (7), the resultant isotope production yields are calculated to be $Y^{(12)}(\text{B}) = (42.9 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-7}$ and $Y^{(12)}(\text{N}) = (1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7}$ $\text{m}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The production rates are $R^{(12)}(\text{B}) = 54.8 \pm 1.5$ and $R^{(12)}(\text{N}) = 2.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ kton}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$.

B. $^8\text{Li}$ and $^8\text{B}$

$^8\text{Li}$ ($\tau = 1.21 \text{ s}$, $Q = 16.0 \text{ MeV}$) [41] $\beta^-$ decay and $^8\text{B}$ ($\tau = 1.11 \text{ s}$, $Q = 18.0 \text{ MeV}$) [41] $\beta^+$ decay candidate events are selected according to $E_{\text{vis}}$ and $\Delta T$. The inset in Fig. 9 shows the distribution of $\Delta T$ for all preceding muons for events with $4 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 20 \text{ MeV}$ and $0.6 \leq \Delta T < 10 \text{ s}$, where the lower limit on $\Delta T$ is chosen to exclude $^6\text{C}$, $^8\text{He}$, $^6\text{Li}$, and other isotopes with shorter lifetimes. Isotopes with longer lifetimes are roughly constant over the selected range of $\Delta T$. To avoid a large accidental coincidence background from uncorrelated muons, all showering muons and a portion of nonshowering muons whose track is within $3 \text{ m}$ of a $^8\text{Li}$ or $^8\text{B}$ candidate are selected. Figure 9 shows the $E_{\text{vis}}$ distribution of $^8\text{Li}$ and $^8\text{B}$ candidate events with $0.6 \leq \Delta T < 4.0 \text{ s}$ after subtracting background estimated from the range $10.6 \leq \Delta T < 14.0 \text{ s}$. $N^{(8)}(\text{Li})$ and $N^{(8)}(\text{B})$ are determined from a simultaneous binned maximum likelihood fit to the $\Delta T$ distribution and a chi-square fit to the $E_{\text{vis}}$ distribution. The expected $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectra for KamLAND are calculated by convolution of the $\beta^-$ spectra from Refs. [42,43] with KamLAND’s detector response. For the fit to the $E_{\text{vis}}$ distribution, the energy scale parameters are constrained to an allowed region determined by a prior fit to $\gamma$-ray calibration data (described in Sec. II) and the $^{12}\text{B} E_{\text{vis}}$ distribution in Fig. 8.

The efficiency is given by the product of $\epsilon_\mu$ for the $E_{\text{vis}}$ selection and $\epsilon_\delta$ for the muon-$^8\text{Li}$ or muon-$^8\text{B}$ spatial correlation. By integrating the expected spectra over $4 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 20 \text{ MeV}$, $\epsilon_\mu^{(8}\text{Li})$ and $\epsilon_\mu^{(8}\text{B})$ are estimated to be

---

**FIG. 8.** (Color) Background-subtracted $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum above 4 MeV. Signal and background events are taken from $2 \leq \Delta T < 60 \text{ ms}$ and $502 \leq \Delta T < 560 \text{ ms}$, respectively. The production rate of $^{12}\text{B}$ ($\tau = 29.1 \text{ ms}$, $Q = 13.4 \text{ MeV}$) is estimated to be $54.8 \pm 1.5 \text{ kton}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, from fitting Eq. (6) to the $\Delta T$ distribution shown in the inset. This fit has a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 509/495. The production rate of $^{12}\text{N}$ ($\tau = 15.9 \text{ ms}$, $Q = 17.3 \text{ MeV}$) is estimated to be $2.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ kton}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, from a similar fit to the $\Delta T$ distribution for events with $14 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 20 \text{ MeV}$, where the higher $E_{\text{vis}}$ threshold is imposed to exclude $^{12}\text{B}$.
from neutron events. The production rates of \( T < 4 \text{ MeV} \), where signal and background events are taken from 0.6 \( \leq \Delta T < 4.0 \text{ s} \) and 10.6 \( \leq \Delta T < 14.0 \text{ s} \), respectively. The production rates of \(^{8}\text{Li} \) \( (\tau = 1.21 \text{ s}, Q = 16.0 \text{ MeV}) \) and \(^{8}\text{B} \) \( (\tau = 1.11 \text{ s}, Q = 18.0 \text{ MeV}) \) are estimated to be 15.6 \( \pm 3.2 \) and 10.7 \( \pm 2.9 \text{ kton}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1} \), respectively, from simultaneously fitting the \( E_{\text{vis}} \) spectrum and the \( \Delta T \) distribution shown in the inset. The fit to the \( \Delta T \) distribution has a \( \chi^{2}/\text{d.o.f.} = 95/91 \).

(77.6 \( \pm 0.9 \)% and (88.4 \( \pm 0.7 \)\)%), respectively. For showering muons, \( \epsilon_{S} \) is 100\% because no correlation requirement is imposed. For nons showering muons, \( \epsilon_{S} \) is estimated from the \(^{12}\text{B} \) and \(^{12}\text{N} \) analysis (Sec. V A), and the systematic error arising from variations between isotopes is estimated with a FLUKA simulation. Figure 10 shows the impact parameter (\( \Delta L \)) distribution for the \(^{12}\text{B} \) and \(^{12}\text{N} \) candidate events for nons showering muons (\( \Delta L < 10^{6} \text{ p.e.} \)). We find that (91.6 \( \pm 4.3 \)\)% of the candidates are within 3 m of the muon track. This fraction is the value of \( \epsilon_{S}(^{12}\text{B}) \) for \( \Delta L < 3 \text{ m} \).

To obtain \( \epsilon_{S}(^{8}\text{Li}) \) and \( \epsilon_{S}(^{8}\text{B}) \), an additional correction for the difference between the muon-\(^{8}\text{Li} \) or -\(^{8}\text{B} \) and the muon-\(^{12}\text{B} \) spatial correlations is applied. This correction is derived from the FLUKA simulation described in Sec. VI B. The simulation does not include the uncertainties in the muon track and the isotope decay vertex reconstruction; it is only used to study the isotope dependence of \( \epsilon_{S} \). The range of values of \( \epsilon_{S} \) from FLUKA for different spallation isotopes is used to estimate the systematic error that should be added to \( \epsilon_{S}(^{12}\text{B}) \) in order to obtain a common \( \epsilon_{S} \) for all spallation isotopes. The resulting value, \( \epsilon_{S} = (91.6 \pm 8.4)\% \), is used for estimating the \(^{8}\text{Li} \) and \(^{8}\text{B} \) (and, later the \( ^{9}\text{C}, ^{8}\text{He}, \) and \(^{9}\text{Li} \)) yields.

Combining the above analyses, we obtain \( Y(^{8}\text{Li}) = (12.2 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-7} \) and \( Y(^{8}\text{B}) = (8.4 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-7} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{2} \text{ MeV}^{-1} \). The isotope production rates are \( R(^{8}\text{Li}) = 15.6 \pm 3.2 \) and \( R(^{8}\text{B}) = 10.7 \pm 2.9 \text{ kton}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1} \). The contour plots in Fig. 11 show the correlation between \(^{8}\text{Li} \) and \(^{8}\text{B} \). Due to their similar lifetimes, \(^{8}\text{Li} \) and \(^{8}\text{B} \) are identified primarily by their energy spectra.

C. \(^{8}\text{He} \) and \(^{9}\text{Li} \)

\(^{8}\text{He} \) \( (\tau = 171.7 \text{ ms}, Q = 10.7 \text{ MeV}) \) [41] and \(^{9}\text{Li} \) \( (\tau = 257.2 \text{ ms}, Q = 13.6 \text{ MeV}) \) [41] \( \beta^{-} \)-decay candidate events are selected according to the cuts \( 1 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 13 \text{ MeV} \) and \( \Delta T < 10 \text{ s} \), and by the detection of a neutron following the \( \beta^{-} \)-decay event. \(^{8}\text{He} \) decays to neutron-unstable excited states of \(^{8}\text{Li} \) with a (16 \( \pm 1 \)% branching ratio [41], and \(^{9}\text{Li} \) decays to neutron-unstable excited states of \(^{9}\text{Be} \) with a (50.8 \( \pm 0.9 \)% branching ratio [41]. The neutron is identified by the 2.225-MeV \( \gamma \) ray from radiative capture on \(^{1}\text{H} \) (1.8 \( \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 2.6 \text{ MeV} \). The \( \gamma \) ray is required to be within 200 cm and 1.0 ms of the \(^{8}\text{He} \) or \(^{9}\text{Li} \) \( \beta^{-} \)-decay candidate. Finally, the \(^{8}\text{He}-^{9}\text{Li} \) analysis is performed using a 5.5-m-radius spherical fiducial volume to reduce the number of accidental coincidences between the \( \beta^{-} \)-decay candidate and external \( \gamma \)-ray backgrounds near the balloon.

The inset in Fig. 12 shows the \( \Delta T \) distribution for the events that satisfy the criteria outlined above. Figure 12 also shows the residual \( E_{\text{vis}} \) distribution corresponding to the subtraction of a background spectrum in the 5.002 \( \leq \Delta T < 6 \text{ s} \) window from a signal in the 0.002 \( \leq \Delta T < 1 \text{ s} \) window. The expected \( E_{\text{vis}} \) distributions for \(^{8}\text{He} \) and \(^{9}\text{Li} \) are calculated by incorporating the KamLAND response and adjusting for the energy deposited by the thermalizing neutron from \(^{8}\text{He} \) or \(^{9}\text{Li} \).
FIG. 12. (Color) Background-subtracted $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum above 1 MeV, where signal and background events are taken from $0.002 \leq \Delta T < 1$ s and $5.002 \leq \Delta T < 6$ s, respectively. Detection of a neutron capture following a $\beta$ decay is required to select events from the $\beta^- + n$ decay mode. The branching ratios for $^9$Li and $^8$He are $(50.8 \pm 0.9)\%$ and $(16 \pm 1)\%$, respectively. The production rates of $^8$He ($\tau = 171.7$ ms, $Q = 10.7$ MeV) and $^9$Li ($\tau = 257.2$ ms, $Q = 13.6$ MeV) are estimated to be $1.0 \pm 0.5$ and $2.8 \pm 0.2$ kton$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, respectively, from simultaneously fitting the $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum and the $\Delta T$ distribution shown in the inset. The fit to the $\Delta T$ distribution has a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 95/97.

The inset in Fig. 14 shows the $\Delta T$ distribution for all events with visible energy $12 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 20$ MeV. The analysis region ($0.2 \leq \Delta T < 0.6$ s) contains events from $^3$C ($\tau = 182.5$ ms, $Q = 16.5$ MeV) $\beta^+$ decay. $N(^3C)$ is determined from a simultaneous binned maximum likelihood fit to the $\Delta T$ distribution and a chi-square fit to the $E_{\text{vis}}$ distribution. The uncertainty in the energy scale parameters are treated in the same manner as the $^8$Li-$^3$B analysis described in Sec. V B.

In this fit, $^8$Li, $^3$B, and $^3$C are treated as possible contaminants, the amounts are constrained to the values obtained in the previously described analyses. This constraint includes the correlation between $^8$Li and $^3$B shown in Fig. 11. By integrating the theoretical $^9$C $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum, we obtain the efficiency for the $12 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 20$ MeV cut of $\epsilon(Q) = (7.2 \pm 1.0)\%$. Combining this with the above results gives $Y(Q) = (3.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-7}$ $\mu^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ cm$^2$ and $R(Q) = 3.8 \pm 1.5$ kton$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$.

FIG. 13. (Color) Allowed regions for the production rates of $^9$Li and $^8$He from the combined fits of the energy spectra and the $\Delta T$ distributions at $\sigma$, $2\sigma$, and $3\sigma$ C.L. for (a) showering muons ($\Delta T > 10^6$ p.e.) and (b) nonshowering muons ($\Delta T < 10^6$ p.e.) with $\Delta L < 3$ m track cut. The black points indicate the best-fit parameters.

FIG. 14. (Color) Background-subtracted $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum above 12 MeV, where signal and background events are taken from $0.2 \leq \Delta T < 0.6$ s and $10.2 \leq \Delta T < 10.6$ s, respectively. The production rate of $^3$C ($\tau = 182.5$ ms, $Q = 16.5$ MeV) is estimated to be $3.8 \pm 1.5$ kton$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ from a simultaneous fit to the $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum and the $\Delta T$ distribution shown in the inset. The fit to the $\Delta T$ distribution has a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 97/96.

E. $^4$H

The production of $^{11}$C ($\beta^+ + n$ decay, $\tau = 29.4$ min, $Q = 1.98$ MeV) [40] through muon-initiated spallation is usually accompanied by a neutron, allowing identification by the triple coincidence of the primary muon, the spallation neutron, and the subsequent $\beta^+$. [13,45]. The $^{11}$C $\beta^+$ decays are selected in the range $1.4 \leq E_{\text{vis}} < 2.0$ MeV and are preceded by a detected muon that is accompanied by at least one neutron capture, identified by the 2.225-MeV $\gamma$ ray from capture on $^4$H. The $\gamma$ ray is required to be in the time window $10 \leq \Delta T < 2500$ $\mu$s relative to the muon. To reduce the
1.4 MeV, where signal and background are selected from 5 kton
inset. The fit to the
11
inefficiencies from run boundaries and the long lifetime of
background, a 7-m-diameter fiducial volume is used. To avoid
inefficiencies from run boundaries and the long lifetime of
11
, the first 5 h of the typically 24-h-long run are not used in
the selection of the 11
C candidates. The number of muon-11
C coincidences is extracted from the DT distribution for all
events that meet the criteria, shown in the inset of Fig. 15.

The efficiency determination takes into account the visible
energy range for 11
C β+ decay, (22.7 ± 3.6)% and the
previously discussed neutron detection efficiency (Sec. IV).
The efficiency also takes into account a correction for 11
C
production modes, designated invisible modes, which do not
produce neutrons [13,45]. To measure this correction, muon-
11
C event pairs were selected with and without the neutron
requirement for a subset of the data where the 11
C candidate is
required to be within 50 cm of the muon track; restricting the
study to a subset of the data mitigated the reduced signal-
to-background ratio associated with relaxing the neutron
requirement. The number of muon-11
C coincidences in each
case was extracted from a fit of Eq. (6) to the corresponding
DT distribution. The visible mode efficiency ϵ_vis, taken as
the ratio of the number of muon-11
C pairs with one or more
neutrons to the number of muon-11
C pairs without the neutron
requirement, is (88.4 ± 2.4)%. Applying the correction for
post-muon electronics effects, the visible mode fraction is
(96.3 ± 2.0)% consistent with Ref. [45], which obtains ϵ_vis =
95.6% for 285-GeV muons.

Due to the relatively long 11
C lifetime, we also considered
the effect of diffusion. An analysis of 222
Rn that was acci-
dentally introduced into the center of KamLAND during the
deployment of a calibration device shows that the diffusion
speed is approximately 1 mm/h. From this study, the effect
of 11
C diffusion on the efficiency is estimated to be less than
0.5%. Combining this with the above results gives Y(11
C) =
(866 ± 153) × 10^{-7} \mu^{-1} g^{-1} cm^{2} and R(11
C) = 1106 ±
178 kton^{-1} day^{-1}.

F. 10
C
As with 11
C, the production of 10
C (β+ decay, τ = 27.8 s,
Q = 3.65 MeV) [41] through muon-initiated spallation is
usually accompanied by a neutron, so the selection criterion
requiring a triple coincidence of the primary muon, the
neutron, and the 10
C candidate is used. The neutron is
identified by the 2.225-MeV n+1
H capture γ ray. The number of
10
C candidates is determined from fitting Eq. (6) to the DT
distribution for all events identified as 10
C, shown in the inset
in Fig. 16. 11
Be is a potential background for this 10
C analysis,
but the correction to the 10
C yield is estimated to be less than
1% because of the low 11
Be production rate and the neutron
coincidence requirement. The efficiency for the visible energy
cut 2.0 < E_{vis} < 4.0 MeV is (73.5 ± 3.2)%. The visible
mode efficiency is ϵ_vis = (90.7 ± 5.5)% after correcting for
the electronics effects following muons. The final efficiency
is (89.6 ± 5.5)% The resulting isotope yield is Y(10
C) =
(16.5 ± 1.9) × 10^{-7} \mu^{-1} g^{-1} cm^{2}, and the production rate
is R(10
C) = 21.1 ± 1.8 kton^{-1} day^{-1}.

G. 11
Be
The 11
Be β−-decay (τ = 19.9 s, Q = 11.5 MeV) [40]
events are selected according to 5.5 ≤ E_{vis} < 16.0 MeV. The
E_{vis} cut efficiency is 63.4%. The inset in Fig. 17 shows the
DT distributions for the events only after showering muons.
For nonshowering muons, a tighter muon track cut ΔL < 1 m
is applied in order to reduce the background rate. The track
cut efficiency is estimated from the 12
B candidates using an
analysis similar to that in Sec. V B. The resulting isotope yield
is Y(11
Be) = (1.1 ± 0.2) × 10^{-7} \mu^{-1} g^{-1} cm^{2}, and production rate
is R(11
Be) = 1.4 ± 0.3 kton^{-1} day^{-1}.

VI. MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS
The GEANT4 and FLUKA simulations are used to reproduce
the measurements from KamLAND. While GEANT4 is used
only to simulate neutron production, both neutron and light
isotope production are tested with FLUKA.
PRODUCTION OF RADIOACTIVE ISOTOPES THROUGH...

FIG. 17. (Color) Background-subtracted $E_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum above 5.5 MeV for showering muons ($\Delta E > 10^6$ p.e.), where signal and background are selected by $8 \leq \Delta T < 60$ s and $408 \leq \Delta T < 460$ s, respectively. The production rate of $^{11}$Be ($\tau = 19.9$ s, $Q = 11.5$ MeV) for showering muons is estimated to be $1.0 \pm 0.2$ km$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ by fitting Eq. (6) to the $\Delta T$ distribution shown in the inset ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 37/41).

A. GEANT4

GEANT4 is a widely-used toolkit for performing particle tracking simulations on an event-by-event basis. A description of the available physics processes included is given in Refs. [17,18]. Here we compare the GEANT4 (version 9.1) prediction for neutron yield by spallation with the results obtained in Sec. IV. We use the physics list QGS, developed by the GEANT4 group to support the binary cascade (BIC) model at lower energies (below 10 GeV for $p$ and $n$, and below 1.2 GeV for $\pi$). This treatment is also appropriate for the simulation of interactions of nucleons and ions. At higher energies, a quark-gluon string (QGS) model is applied for the hadronic interactions. Neutron elastic and inelastic interactions below 20 MeV are described by a high-precision data-driven model (NEUTRON). The G4EMEXTRA PHYSICS physics list is also used to model the photoneuclear and muon-nuclear interaction processes, which dominate the neutron production by muons in the simulation.

To estimate the neutron production yield as a function of muon energy in GEANT4, monoenergetic muons of several energies are injected at the center of a generic hydrocarbon block of thickness 40 m. The region more than 10 m away from the edges of the block is analyzed to avoid boundary effects. As shown later in Fig. 20, the neutron production yields predicted by GEANT4 are systematically lower than experiment, with the exception of point (F) from the LVD [12]. These results are consistent with previous work [46].

A Monte Carlo simulation based upon GEANT4 and MUSIC (described in Sec. III) is used to study neutrons produced by muon-induced spallation in the material outside of the KamLAND ID. Some of these neutrons have sufficient energy to enter the ID, where they thermalize and capture. They can be identified by the occurrence of a prompt signal (for example, from $n + p$ elastic scattering) and a delayed signal from the capture $\gamma$ ray. This is the same inverse $\beta$-decay reaction signature used for $\bar{\nu}_e$ detection, $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$, where the $e^+$ is the prompt signal, and the $\gamma$ ray from neutron capture is the delayed signal and therefore a potential background. These neutrons are also a background for dark-matter experiments that employ nuclear recoils as a detection method.

The primary purpose of this Monte Carlo simulation is to estimate the rate of untagged fast neutrons, i.e., neutrons produced by muons where the muon is undetected by the KamLAND ID. A few of these muons are detected by the OD, either from the Cherenkov radiation produced by the muon itself (tracked muons), or by accompanying electromagnetic and hadronic showers that enter the OD (untracked muons). A prompt signal in coincidence with these tracked and untracked muons followed by a delayed capture $\gamma$ signal identifies candidates for untagged fast neutrons.

Fast neutrons generated by untracked muons are produced primarily in the rock surrounding the OD, whereas fast neutrons from tracked muons are primarily produced in the water of the OD. It is shown in the Monte Carlo that the tracked and untracked muons give distinguishable OD visible energy distributions. A comparison between Monte Carlo and measurement of the distribution of the number of OD PMTs with signals above threshold for tracked and untracked muons reveals a deficiency of fast neutrons from untracked muons, consistent with the underproduction of neutrons by GEANT4 in concrete reported in Ref. [47].

Figure 18 shows the $E_{\text{vis}}$ distribution of the prompt events from the Monte Carlo simulation of tracked and untracked muons compared with the measured data. The measured data and the Monte Carlo simulation correspond to an equal live time exposure of 1368 days. Figure 19 shows the radial distribution of the prompt events relative to the KamLAND center. Both the measured data and the GEANT4 simulation exhibit an exponential attenuation of the neutrons as they penetrate farther into the detector, with the simulation yielding an attenuation length of $69 \pm 2$ g/cm$^2$, consistent with the measurement $70 \pm 2$ g/cm$^2$.

B. FLUKA

FLUKA is a mature code that models nuclear and particle physics processes from thermal neutrons to heavy-ion...
We use but the power-law dependence on muon energy (included in Fig. 20) in reactions like. Care is taken not to double-count the neutrons involved in reactions like (n, 2n). The results of this simulation are included in Fig. 20. The neutron production yield of this FLUKA simulation is 10% lower than previous work [31, 46, 52, 53], but the power-law dependence on muon energy ($E_\mu^\alpha$, where $\alpha = 0.77$) is consistent. Different scintillator compositions were studied, but they could not explain the deficit. This deficit is insignificant compared to the discrepancy between these simulations and the data.

The production of light isotopes was studied in the same simulation. The results, including the primary production process and power-law exponent, are summarized in Table IV. For some isotopes, the primary production process is much larger than any secondary processes, as in the case of $^{12}$B. For other isotopes, the primary production process is only slightly larger than the secondary processes, as is the case for $^9$Li. The isotopes produced primarily by $\gamma$ interactions, $^{11}$C and $^{19}$C, show the weakest dependence on muon energy. In comparison, $^{12}$N and $^{13}$N, where the primary production mechanism is by $\beta$ interactions, show the strongest dependence on muon energy.

The use of a monoenergetic $\mu^-$ beam overestimates the production of neutrons and light isotopes. Simulations using a monoenergetic $\mu^+$ beam and a beam with the energy spectrum from Ref. [33] were also run. The simulations show that the production yield for $\mu^+$ relative to $\mu^-$ is on average 0.96 ± 0.01 for the light isotopes. This reduction is expected, since $\mu^-$ may capture, creating spallation products, while $\mu^+$ may not. This ratio, combined with the $\mu^+$ to $\mu^-$ ratio at KamLAND, leads to a correction to the flux of 0.98 ± 0.06 for light isotopes and 0.981 ± 0.005 for neutrons. The reduced production yield due to averaging over the muon spectrum is on average 0.92 ± 0.02 for the light isotopes, which is slightly higher than the correction factor suggested by Ref. [10]. The results of the FLUKA simulations for KamLAND presented in Table V include these two corrections.

**VII. DISCUSSION**

The isotope production yields from muon-initiated spallation in a liquid-scintillator target were investigated at CERN by earlier experiment [10] using the SPS muon beam with muon energies of 100 and 190 GeV. Based on those cross-section measurements and the predicted muon energy spectrum at the KamLAND site, we calculated the isotope production rates by extrapolation, assuming a power law of the muon energy $E_\mu^\alpha$. The mean muon energy at KamLAND is 260 ± 8 GeV, so KamLAND provides data to test the extrapolation method at this energy.

The production yields for the isotopes from muon-initiated spallation in KamLAND are provided in Table V. On average, the yields from the showering muons (≈15% of all muons), whose excess light yield parameter ($\delta L$, Eq. (2)) is greater than 10$^6$ p.e. (≈3 GeV), constitute (70 ± 2)% of the yield from all muons. The production yield for $^{11}$C is the largest, and its measured yield is larger than the FLUKA calculation by a factor of ≈2. The Borexino Collaboration also reported a similar discrepancy [2, 3], which is consistent with what is observed in KamLAND. Some measured production yields, such as $^8$Li...
yields of radioactive isotopes and neutrons through the muon beam experiment, indicating that perhaps estimation by extrapolations—based on a power-law dependance with respect to muon energy—of results from muon beam experiments.

VIII. SUMMARY

We have analyzed KamLAND data to measure production yields of radioactive isotopes and neutrons through muon-initiated spallation in liquid scintillator. The neutron production yield is evaluated to be \( Y_n = (2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4} \mu^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \), which is higher than the expectation from Monte Carlo simulations based on GEANT4 and FLUKA. Some isotope production yields are found to be inconsistent with extrapolations—based on a power-law dependance with respect to muon energy—of results from muon beam experiments.

### TABLE V. Summary of the neutron and isotope production yields from muon-initiated spallation in KamLAND. The results of the FLUKA calculation include corrections for the muon spectrum and the \( \mu^+ / \mu^- \) composition of the cosmic-ray muon flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lifetime in KamLAND LS (MeV)</th>
<th>Radiation energy (MeV)</th>
<th>Yield (( \times 10^{-7} \mu^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 ))</th>
<th>Fraction from showering ( \mu ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>207.5 ( \mu ) s</td>
<td>2.225 (cap.) ( \gamma )</td>
<td>429 \pm 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^1 \text{B} )</td>
<td>29.1 ms</td>
<td>13.4 (( \beta^- ))</td>
<td>27.8 \pm 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^1 \text{N} )</td>
<td>15.9 ms</td>
<td>17.3 (( \beta^+ ))</td>
<td>0.77 \pm 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^8 \text{Li} )</td>
<td>1.21 s</td>
<td>16.0 (( \beta^- ) ( \alpha ))</td>
<td>21.1 \pm 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^8 \text{B} )</td>
<td>1.11 s</td>
<td>18.0 (( \beta^+ ) ( \alpha ))</td>
<td>3.3 \pm 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^9 \text{C} )</td>
<td>182.5 ms</td>
<td>16.5 (( \beta^+ ))</td>
<td>2.3 \pm 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^8 \text{He} )</td>
<td>171.7 s</td>
<td>10.7 (( \beta^- ) ( \gamma ) ( \eta ))</td>
<td>1.0 \pm 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^9 \text{Li} )</td>
<td>257.2 ms</td>
<td>13.6 (( \beta^- ) ( \gamma ) ( \eta ))</td>
<td>3.16 \pm 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^1 \text{C} )</td>
<td>29.4 min</td>
<td>1.98 (( \beta^+ ))</td>
<td>421 \pm 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^1 \text{O} )</td>
<td>27.8 s</td>
<td>3.65 (( \beta^+ ) ( \gamma ))</td>
<td>54 \pm 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^{11} \text{Be} )</td>
<td>19.9 s</td>
<td>11.5 (( \beta^- ))</td>
<td>(&lt;1.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^6 \text{He} )</td>
<td>1.16 s</td>
<td>3.51 (( \beta^- ))</td>
<td>7.5 \pm 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^7 \text{Be} )</td>
<td>76.9 day</td>
<td>0.478 (EC ( \gamma ))</td>
<td>107 \pm 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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